UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,875	09/25/2000	Jerry Freestone	NTL-3.2.133/3405 (12052SC	3055	
95495 <b>Maldjian &amp;</b> Fal	7590 07/20/201 lon LLC	EXAMINER			
36 Bingham Av	venue	WON, MICHAEL YOUNG			
Rumson, NJ 07	/60		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2455		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2010	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@mfiplaw.com jmaldjian@mfiplaw.com

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/668,875		FREESTONE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		MICHAEL Y.	WON	2455				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ine 2010						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			-final					
<u> </u>	· <del></del>							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance	with the practice under £	.x parte Quay	7e, 1900 O.D. 11, 40	5 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-16,18</u> -	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-16,18-28,30-34,36-38,40-43 and 45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above clair	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)  Claim(s) is/are	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-16,18</u> -	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-16,18-28,30-34,36-38,40-43 and 45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are								
8) Claim(s) are s	ubject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is of	piected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-892) Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4; 5; 6;	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) te				

Application/Control Number: 09/668,875 Page 2

Art Unit: 2455

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed June 7, 2010.

2. Claims 1, 7, 8, 13, 18, 24, and 30 have been amended and claims 5, 6, 17, and

29 have been cancelled.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-16, 18-28, 30-34, 36-38, 40-43 and 45 have been examined and

are pending with this action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The examiner cannot determine how an email with an attachment is sent from the sender to the recipient when "the attaching is performed by the recipient's device". There seems to be missing some essential steps or elements missing or the applicant has erroneously written by the "recipient's device" when in fact, it should be the "sender's device".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/668,875

Art Unit: 2455

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-16, 18, 19, 21-28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36-38, 40, 41 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ramesh et al. (US 6,801,931). <u>INDEPENDENT:</u>

As per *claim 1*, Ramesh teaches an electronic message configured to be communicated between a sender's device and a recipient's device, the electronic message comprising:

an attached sound file (see col.2, lines 14-19: "and one or more attached documents or files of various types (e.g., word processor files, image files, audio files, spreadsheets, executable programs, or others)"); and,

an attached predetermined identifier associated with the sound file (see col.3, lines 49-61: "To differentiate the intended speaker from the sender of the message, a speaker identifier is added to the electronic message"), the predetermined identifier comprising:

a distinguishing indication configured to distinguish the sound file from other files attached to the electronic message (see col.3, lines 53-57: "The speaker identifier is an electronic label that corresponds to a specific set of basis vectors. In one implementation, it may correspond to the name of the speaker and comprise ASCII text added to the message header"); and

Application/Control Number: 09/668,875

Art Unit: 2455

a course of action indication configured to indicate a course of action to be taken by the recipient's device with the sound file, the course of action indication comprising an information tag (see col.3, lines 57-61: "The speaker identifier tells the recipient's communication device 120 which set of stored basis vectors to associate with the received message payload when a set of basis vectors is not attached thereto");

Page 4

wherein based on the course of action indicated by the predetermined identifier, the recipient's device is configured to selectively convert a content of the electronic message form text to voice using at least one of the sound file and a locally stored voice sample (see col.2, lines 35-37: "the recipient's communication device renders the text to the recipient as audible speech"; and col.3, line 61-col.4, line 2: "the recipient's communication device uses the included speaker identifier to associate a specific set of stored basis vectors with the message payload");

wherein the recipient's device is configured to selectively play at least one of the sound file and the converted content of the electronic message (see col.3, lines 31-33: "render the text as audible speech").

As per *claim 8*, Ramesh teaches a method for sending an electronic message from a sender's device and a recipient's device, the method comprising the steps of:

attaching a sound file to the electronic message (see col.2, lines 14-19: "and one or more attached documents or files of various types (e.g., word processor files, image files, audio files, spreadsheets, executable programs, or others)");

associating a predetermined identifier with the sound file and attaching the predetermined identifier to the electronic message (see col.3, lines 49-61: "To differentiate the intended speaker from the sender of the message, a speaker identifier is added to the electronic message"), the predetermined identifier comprising:

a distinguishing indication configured to distinguish the sound file from other files attached to the electronic message (see col.3, lines 53-57: "The speaker identifier is an electronic label that corresponds to a specific set of basis vectors. In one implementation, it may correspond to the name of the speaker and comprise ASCII text added to the message header");

a course of action indication configured to indicate a course of action to be taken by the recipient's device with the sound file, the course of action indication comprising an information tag (see col.3, lines 57-61: "The speaker identifier tells the recipient's communication device 120 which set of stored basis vectors to associate with the received message payload when a set of basis vectors is not attached thereto");

based on the course of action indicated by the predetermined identifier, selectively converting a content of the electronic message form text to voice using at least one of said sound file and a locally stored voice sample (see col.2, lines 35-37: "the recipient's communication device renders the text to the recipient as audible speech"; and col.3, line 61-col.4, line 2: "the recipient's communication device uses the included speaker identifier to associate a specific set of stored basis vectors with the message payload"); and

Art Unit: 2455

selectively playing said sound file and/or said converted content of the electronic message (see col.3, lines 31-33: "render the text as audible speech").

As per *claim 24*, Ramesh teaches a method for announcing electronic messages comprising the steps of:

receiving an electronic message with an attached sound file (see col.2, lines 14-19: "and one or more attached documents or files of various types (e.g., word processor files, image files, audio files, spreadsheets, executable programs, or others)");

noting the presence of a predetermined identifier attached to the electronic message, wherein the predetermined identifier comprises:

a distinguishing indication configured to distinguish the sound file from other files attached to the electronic message (see col.3, lines 53-57: "The speaker identifier is an electronic label that corresponds to a specific set of basis vectors. In one implementation, it may correspond to the name of the speaker and comprise ASCII text added to the message header"); and

a course of action indication configured to indicate a course of action to be taken with the sound file, the course of action indication comprising an information tag (see col.3, lines 57-61: "The speaker identifier tells the recipient's communication device 120 which set of stored basis vectors to associate with the received message payload when a set of basis vectors is not attached thereto");

based on course of action indicated by the predetermined identifier, selectively converting a content of the electronic message form text to voice using one of said sound file and a locally stored voice sample (see col.2, lines 35-37: "the recipient's

communication device renders the text to the recipient as audible speech"; and col.3, line 61-col.4, line 2: "the recipient's communication device uses the included speaker identifier to associate a specific set of stored basis vectors with the message payload"); and

selectively playing the at least one of the sound file and said converted content of the electronic message in response to the noting of the predetermined identifier (see col.3, lines 31-33: "render the text as audible speech").

#### **DEPENDENT**:

As per *claims 2-4, 14-16, and 26-28*, which respectively depend on claims 1, 8, and 24, Ramesh further teaches wherein said sound file contains at least one word in a computer-simulated voice and at least one word in a sender's voice (see col.2, lines 37-39).

As per *claims* 7, 18, and 30, which respectively depend on claims 1, 8, and 24, Ramesh further teaches wherein the information tag is embedded in a header of the electronic message (see col. 3, lines 51-53).

As per *claim 9*, which depends on claim 8, Ramesh further teaches wherein said attaching is performed by the sender's device (see col.3, lines 9-11).

As per *claim 10*, which depends on claim 8, Ramesh further teaches wherein said attaching is automatic (see col.2, lines 11-14).

As per *claim 12*, which depends on claim 8, Ramesh further teaches wherein said attaching, is performed by an e-mail server (see col.4, lines 16-19).

As per *claim 13*, which depends on claim 8, Ramesh teaches further comprising: transmitting the electronic message to the recipient's device, wherein the attaching is performed by the recipient's device (see Fig.2 and col.2, lines 14-24)

As per *claims* **19** *and* **31**, which respectively depend on claims 18 and 30, Ramesh further teaches wherein the information tag, is embedded by the sender's device or by a sender computer (see col.3, lines 44-61).

As per *claims 21 and 33*, which respectively depend on claims 18 and 30, Ramesh further teaches wherein the information tag, is embedded by an e-mail server (see claim 7 and 19 rejection above).

As per *claim 22 and 34*, which respectively depend on claims 18 and 30, Ramesh further teaches wherein the information tag, is embedded by the recipient's device or by a recipient computer, respectively (see claim 13 and 19 rejection above).

As per *claim 23*, which depends on claim 18, Ramesh further teaches wherein said attaching, is selectively performed by a sending party (see claim 9 rejection above).

As per *claim 25*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh teaches of further comprising receiving at least one more electronic message with an attached sound file and playing said at least one more sound file (see claim 1 rejection above).

As per *claim 36*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh further teaches wherein said playing is performed at a recipient computer (see col.3, lines 31-33).

As per *claim 37*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh further teaches wherein said playing is performed at recipient customer premise equipment (see col.3, lines 31-33)

Art Unit: 2455

As per *claim 38*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh further teaches where said playing is performed at a recipient voice mail (see col.2, lines 2-6).

As per *claim 40*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh further teaches where said converting is performed at an e-mail server (see col. 4, lines 16-19).

As per *claim 41*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh further teaches where said converting is performed at a recipient computer (see col.2, lines 35-37).

As per *claim 45*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh teaches of further comprising transferring said voice message to a voice mailbox (see col.2, lines 2-6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11, 20, 32, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramesh et al. (US 6,801,931) in view of Agraharam et al. (US 6,085,231 A).

As per *claims 11, 20, 32, and 42*, which respectively depend on claims 8, 18, 30, and 24, Ramesh does not explicitly teach where said attaching is performed by an adjunct to the senders device.

Agraharam teaches of an adjunct (see col.3, lines 20-29: "email server which is part of or an adjunct to the called party/subscriber's").

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ramesh in view of Agraharam by implementing an adjunct. One would be motivated to do so because Ramesh suggests other embodiments are possible without departing from essential character of the invention (see col.5, lines 25-30).

As per *claim 43*, which depends on claim 24, Ramesh does not explicitly teach wherein said converting is performed at a voice messaging system.

Agraharam teaches wherein said converting is performed at a voice messaging system (see Fig.2, #202 & #206 and col.4, lines 7-17).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Ramesh in view of Agraharam so that the converting is performed at a voice messaging system. One would be motivated to do so because Ramesh teaches that other form of synthesis methods can be employed (see col.2, lines 56-62).

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 7, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In view of the amendments presented and after further consideration, Ramesh clearly and explicitly teach the invention as claimed. New citations have been presented in the rejections set forth above. Ramesh teaches a speaker identifier that distinguishes the sound file and indicates a course of action to be taken (see rejection above).

Application/Control Number: 09/668,875 Page 11

Art Unit: 2455

### Conclusion

8. For the reasons above, claims 1-4, 7-16, 18-28, 30-34, 36-38, 40-43 and 45 have been rejected and remain pending.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL Y. WON whose telephone number is (571)272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 9AM-7PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,875 Page 12

Art Unit: 2455

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Won/

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 2455

July 14, 2010